## Appendices

## Internal Equity <br> - By Band

## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - By Band

This graph shows the spread of average salaries of jobs within each Band across the entire data sample. It reflects the relationship between average pay levels and the pay ranges. Average salary by Band is represented by the red line.
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## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - By Band

The table below shows the current compa-ratio (sum of base salary divided by sum of midpoint) by band. Overall, the State has a compa-ratio of $91 \%$ of its current midpoint. Any compa-ratio below $90 \%$ is highlighted in red.

| Band | \# of Employees | Average Actual <br> Salary | Band <br> Midpoint | Average <br> Comparative <br> Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 28 | 122,446 | 120,884 | $101 \%$ |
| 9 | 194 | 99,944 | 99,352 | $101 \%$ |
| 8 | 1,061 | 83,473 | 81,655 | $102 \%$ |
| 7 | 3,183 | 63,693 | 67,108 | $95 \%$ |
| 6 | 4,774 | 50,640 | 55,155 | $92 \%$ |
| 5 | 7,691 | 40,363 | 45,326 | $89 \%$ |
| 4 | 8,812 | 32,839 | 37,250 | $88 \%$ |
| 3 | 6,663 | 26,757 | 30,619 | $87 \%$ |
| 2 | 2,857 | 23,194 | 25,161 | $92 \%$ |
| 1 | 268 | 17,949 | 20,959 | $86 \%$ |
| Total Employees | 35,531 | Total Comparative Ratio |  | $91 \%$ |

## Internal Equity <br> - By Occupational Category

## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - By Occupational Category

To consider internal equity across Occupational Categories, this graph illustrates the average pay line for each Occupational Category.


## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - By Occupational Category

The table below shows the current compa-ratio of each Occupational Categories. Three Occupational Categories are more than $10 \%$ below the State's ideal midpoint position, these are highlighted in red.

| Occupational Category | \# of Employees | \% of Total Population | Average Actual Salary | Average Comparative Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Administration | 12,347 | 35\% | 57,255 | 95\% |
| Agriculture \& Natural Services | 735 | 2\% | 36,684 | 87\% |
| Education | 342 | 1\% | 40,159 | 88\% |
| Health Services | 1611 | 5\% | 49,669 | 90\% |
| Human Services | 7360 | 21\% | 45,859 | 90\% |
| Information Services | 539 | 2\% | 43,784 | 93\% |
| Law Enforcement \& Support Services | 6946 | 20\% | 42,648 | 91\% |
| Technical Services | 1452 | 4\% | 52,008 | 99\% |
| Trade Services | 4199 | 12\% | 32,407 | 86\% |
| Total Employees | 35,531 | 100\% | Total Comparat Ra | 91\% |

## Internal Equity

- By Occupational Category
- By Band \& Job Family
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Consulting

## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Administration

The table below shows the current compa-ratio by band for Administration is $95 \%$, which is $4 \%$ above the State's overall position of $91 \%$. Administration has the largest number of jobs within the State compared to other Occupational Categories. Any compa-ratio below $90 \%$ is highlighted in red.

| Band | \# of Employees | Average Actual <br> Salary | Band <br> Midpoint | Average <br> Comparative <br> Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 28 | 122,446 | 120,884 | $101 \%$ |
| 9 | 143 | 102,827 | 99,352 | $103 \%$ |
| 8 | 635 | 85,528 | 81,655 | $105 \%$ |
| 7 | 1555 | 66,965 | 67,108 | $100 \%$ |
| 6 | 2188 | 51,448 | 55,155 | $93 \%$ |
| 5 | 2439 | 40,431 | 45,326 | $89 \%$ |
| 4 | 2802 | 32,535 | 37,250 | $87 \%$ |
| 3 | 2408 | 26,837 | 30,619 | $88 \%$ |
| 2 | 141 | 23,119 | 25,161 | $92 \%$ |
| 1 | 8 | 15,713 | 20,959 | $75 \%$ |
| Total Employees | 12,347 | Total Comparative Ratio |  | $95 \%$ |

## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Administration

By Job Family: The table below shows the current compa-ratio by Job Family for Administration. Job families which lag significantly are Administrative Services and Postal Services. Any compa-ratio below 90\% is highlighted in red.

| Job Family |  | Average <br> \# of Employees <br> Actual Salary | Average <br> Comparative Ratio |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Administrative Services | 3596 | $\$ 22,726$ | $78 \%$ |
| Administrative/Program Management | 4396 | $\$ 66,684$ | $95 \%$ |
| Executive Assistance | 52 | $\$ 71,783$ | $105 \%$ |
| Fiscal Services | 1661 | $\$ 49,548$ | $92 \%$ |
| Human Resources | 636 | $\$ 54,907$ | $96 \%$ |
| Information Technology | 1194 | $\$ 64,106$ | $97 \%$ |
| Legal Services | 306 | $\$ 82,695$ | $104 \%$ |
| Postal Services | 63 | $\$ 29,215$ | $86 \%$ |
| Procurement | 385 | $\$ 42,010$ | $93 \%$ |
| Project Management | 58 | $\$ 77,103$ | $101 \%$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1 2 , 3 4 7}$ | Total Comparative | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ |

## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Agriculture \& Natural Services

Current compa-ratio by band for Agriculture \& Natural Services is $87 \%$ of midpoint. This shows that pay levels are behind midpoint by $13 \%$ and average pay is behind the State's position by $4 \%$. Any compa-ratio below $90 \%$ is highlighted in red.

| Band | \# of Employees | Average Actual Salary | Band Midpoint | Average Comparative Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 |  |  | 120,884 |  |
| 9 |  |  | 99,352 |  |
| 8 |  |  | 81,655 |  |
| 7 | 76 | 58,185 | 67,108 | 87\% |
| 6 | 72 | 47,741 | 55,155 | 87\% |
| 5 | 132 | 39,039 | 45,326 | 86\% |
| 4 | 137 | 32,555 | 37,250 | 87\% |
| 3 | 250 | 26,007 | 30,619 | 85\% |
| 2 | 68 | 23,107 | 25,161 | 92\% |
| 1 |  |  | 20,959 |  |
| Total Employees | 735 | Total Comparative Ratio |  | 87\% |

## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Agriculture \& Natural Services

By Job Family: The table below shows the current compa-ratio by Job Family for Agriculture \& Natural Services. Pay levels are low in all but one Job Family compared State practice but particularly low in Earth Services at 81\%. Any compa-ratio below 90\% is highlighted in red.

| Job Family | \# of Employees | Average <br> Actual Salary | Average <br> Comparative Ratio |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agriculture/Animal Services | 80 | $\$ 33,395$ | $88 \%$ |  |  |
| Earth Services | 75 | $\$ 43,655$ | $81 \%$ |  |  |
| Forestry Services | 223 | $\$ 36,455$ | $85 \%$ |  |  |
| Natural Resources Services | 178 | $\$ 41,863$ | $92 \%$ |  |  |
| Recreation \& Tourism Services | 179 | $\$ 32,956$ | $86 \%$ |  |  |
| Total Comparative <br> Ratio |  |  |  |  | $87 \%$ |

## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Education

Average salaries for roles in Band 3 lag midpoint by $21 \%$, and are even lower than those of Band 2. The Education Occupational Category lags the State's midpoint by $12 \%$. Education has the $3^{\text {rd }}$ lowest compa-ratio of all of the Occupational Categories. Any compa-ratio below $90 \%$ is highlighted in red.

| Band | \# of Employees | Average <br> Actual Salary | Band <br> Midpoint | Average <br> Comparative <br> Ratio |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 |  |  | 120,884 |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  | 99,352 |  |  |  |
| 8 | 152 | 63,067 | 81,655 |  |  |  |
| 7 | 39 | 50,077 | 67,108 | 95,155 |  |  |
| 6 | 69 | 39,358 | 45,326 | $91 \%$ |  |  |
| 5 | 42 | 32,475 | 37,250 | $87 \%$ |  |  |
| 4 | 37 | 24,043 | 30,619 | $87 \%$ |  |  |
| 3 | 3 | 27,427 | 25,161 | $79 \%$ |  |  |
| 2 |  |  | 20,959 | $109 \%$ |  |  |
| 1 | 342 | Total Comparative Ratio |  |  |  |  |
| Total Employees |  |  | $88 \%$ |  |  |  |

## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Education

By Job Family: The table below shows the current compa-ratio by Job Family for Education is $88 \%$ of midpoint. Pay levels are consistently low in every Job Family. However, Education makes up only $1 \%$ of the State's total population. Any compa-ratio below $90 \%$ is highlighted in red.

| Job Family | \# of Employees | Average <br> Actual Salary | Average <br> Comparative Ratio |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academic Administration | 4 | $\$ 41,226$ | $90 \%$ |  |
| Arts, History, and Museum Services | 33 | $\$ 39,909$ | $89 \%$ |  |
| Education Services | 228 | $\$ 38,978$ | $90 \%$ |  |
| Library Services | 23 | $\$ 36,801$ | $86 \%$ |  |
| Public Broadcasting | 54 | $\$ 44,010$ | $86 \%$ |  |
| Total Comparative <br> Ratio |  |  |  |  |

## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Health Services

The table below shows the current compa-ratio by band for Health Services is $90 \%$ of midpoint. Bands containing the bulk of employees in Health Services are more than 10\% below midpoint. Any compa-ratio below $90 \%$ is highlighted in red.

| Band | \# of Employees | Average <br> Actual Salary | Band <br> Midpoint | Average <br> Comparative <br> Ratio |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 |  |  | 120,884 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 33 | 91,245 | 99,352 | $92 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 172 | 77,564 | 81,655 | $95 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 600 | 56,089 | 67,108 | $84 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 344 | 49,257 | 55,155 | $89 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 175 | 39,721 | 45,326 | $88 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 178 | 33,345 | 37,250 | $90 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 48 | 25,868 | 30,619 | $84 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 57 | 23,568 | 25,161 | $94 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 4 | 20,405 | 20,959 | $97 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total Employees | 1611 | Total Comparative Ratio |  |  |  |  |  | $90 \%$ |

## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Health Services

By Job Family: The table below shows the current compa-ratio by Job Family for Health Services. Professional \& Therapist Services have the highest compa-ratio of the Job Families in Health Services. Any compa-ratio below 90\% is highlighted in red.

| Job Family | \# of Employees | Average <br> Actual Salary | Average <br> Comparative Ratio |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Laboratory Services | 134 | $\$ 42,311$ | $84 \%$ |
| Nursing Services | 1247 | $\$ 60,926$ | $85 \%$ |
| Personal Care \& Support Services | 135 | $\$ 30,679$ | $90 \%$ |
| Professional \& Therapist Services | 95 | $\$ 60,422$ | $96 \%$ |
| Total Employees |  | $\mathbf{1 6 1 1}$ | Total Comparative <br> Ratio |

## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Human Services

The table below shows the current compa-ratio by band for Human Services. Comparatios for Bands with the vast majority of employees (Bands 2-5) in this Occupational Category are greater than $10 \%$ below midpoint, which are highlighted in red.

| Band | \# of Employees | Average Actual <br> Salary | Band <br> Midpoint | Average <br> Comparative <br> Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 |  |  | 120,884 |  |
| 9 | 3 | 98,152 | 99,352 | $99 \%$ |
| 8 | 39 | 76,303 | 81,655 | $93 \%$ |
| 7 | 21 | 65,722 | 67,108 | $98 \%$ |
| 6 | 582 | 50,175 | 55,155 | $91 \%$ |
| 5 | 2274 | 40,398 | 45,326 | $89 \%$ |
| 4 | 2168 | 32,112 | 37,250 | $86 \%$ |
| 3 | 907 | 24,634 | 30,619 | $80 \%$ |
| 2 | 1359 | 21,075 | 25,161 | $84 \%$ |
| 1 | 7 | 20,203 | 20,959 | $96 \%$ |
| Total Employees | 7360 | Total Comparative Ratio |  | $90 \%$ |

## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Human Services

By Job Family: The table below shows the current compa-ratio for each Job Family in Human Services. Human Services makes up 21\% of the State's total employee population. Any compa-ratio below $90 \%$ is highlighted in red.

| Job Family | \# of <br> Employees | Average <br> Actual Salary | Average <br> Comparative Ratio |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Counseling \& Case Management Services | 7183 | $\$ 47,175$ | $90 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Pastorial Services | 38 | $\$ 39,250$ | $86 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Social Work | 139 | $\$ 49,853$ | $99 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total Employees |  |  |  |  | 7360 | Totarative <br> Ratio | $90 \%$ |

## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Information Services

The table below shows the current compa-ratio by band for Information Services is $93 \%$, which is the 4th highest of all Occupational Categories. Band 8 has a compa-ratio 9\% above midpoint.

| Band | \# of Employees | Average <br> Actual Salary | Band <br> Midpoint | Average <br> Comparative <br> Ratio |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 |  |  | 120,884 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 6 | 98,152 | 99,352 | $95 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 8 | 7 | 88,832 | 81,655 | $109 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 7 | 43 | 66,034 | 67,108 | $98 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 6 | 113 | 52,597 | 55,155 | $95 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 5 | 119 | 40,785 | 45,326 | $90 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 4 | 193 | 34,463 | 37,250 | $93 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 3 | 58 | 27,763 | 30,619 | $91 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 2 | 6 | 23,877 | 25,161 | $95 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | Total Comparative Ratio |  |  |  |  | $93 \%$ |
| Total Employees | 539 |  | 20,959 |  |  |  |  |

## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Information Services

By Job Family: The table below shows the current compa-ratio for each Job Family in Information Services. Both Grants Administration and Media \& Graphics Resources are comparable to midpoint. Records Management is the only Job Family of concern, falling below $90 \%$ of midpoint, which is highlighted in red.

| Job Family | \# of Employees | Average <br> Actual Salary | Average <br> Comparative Ratio |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Communication Services | 212 | $\$ 40,922$ | $90 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grants Administration | 39 | $\$ 49,525$ | $97 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Media \& Graphics Resources | 17 | $\$ 40,756$ | $98 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Printing Services | 9 | $\$ 39,954$ | $95 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public Information Services | 108 | $\$ 56,146$ | $95 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Records Management | 60 | $\$ 31,316$ | $83 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Research \& Statistical Services | 94 | $\$ 45,762$ | $94 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Employees |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{5 3 9}$ | Total Comparative <br> Ratio | $\mathbf{9 3 \%}$ |

## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Law Enforcement \& Support

The table below shows the current compa-ratio by band for Law Enforcement \& Support Services. This Occupational Category makes up 20\% of the State's total employee population. Any compa-ratio below $90 \%$ is highlighted in red.

| Band | \# of Employees | Average <br> Actual Salary | Band <br> Midpoint | Average <br> Comparative <br> Ratio |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 |  |  | 120,884 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 68 | 78,349 | 99,352 |  |  |  |
| 8 | 386 | 60,661 | 67,108 | $96 \%$ |  |  |
| 7 | 933 | 49,033 | 55,155 | $90 \%$ |  |  |
| 6 | 1744 | 41,179 | 45,326 | $91 \%$ |  |  |
| 5 | 1762 | 33,138 | 37,250 | $89 \%$ |  |  |
| 4 | 2044 | 28,264 | 30,619 | $92 \%$ |  |  |
| 3 | 9 | 25,494 | 25,161 | $101 \%$ |  |  |
| 2 |  | Total Comparative Ratio |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 6946 |  | 20,959 | $91 \%$ |  |  |
| Total Employees |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Law Enforcement \& Support

By Job Family: The table below shows the current compa-ratio by Job Family for Law Enforcement \& Support Services. Interestingly, Law Enforcement \& Public Safety pay levels are very comparable to the State's midpoint. Health \& Safety Regulation and Investigative \& Support Services are greater than $10 \%$ below midpoint, and are highlighted in red.

| Job Family | \# of Employees | Average <br> Actual Salary | Average <br> Comparative Ratio |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Emergency Preparedness | 47 | $\$ 45,501$ | $91 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Health \& Safety Regulation | 619 | $\$ 42,513$ | $84 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Investigative \& Support Services | 483 | $\$ 38,525$ | $89 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Law Enforcement \& Public Safety | 2034 | $\$ 48,208$ | $97 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Security Services | 3763 | $\$ 41,761$ | $90 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Total Comparative <br> Ratio |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{9 1 \%}$ |

## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Technical Services

The table below shows that the current compa-ratio by band for Technical Services is at $99 \%$ of midpoint, with average salaries in Bands 5,8 and 9 above midpoints. Technical Services has the highest compa-ratio of all of the Occupational Categories. Any comparatio below $90 \%$ is highlighted in red.

| Band | \# of Employees | Average <br> Actual Salary | Band <br> Midpoint | Average <br> Comparative <br> Ratio |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 |  |  | 120,884 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 15 | 113,470 | 99,352 | $114 \%$ |  |  |
| 8 | 140 | 94,001 | 81,655 | $115 \%$ |  |  |
| 7 | 346 | 66,851 | 67,108 | $100 \%$ |  |  |
| 6 | 334 | 54,750 | 55,155 | $99 \%$ |  |  |
| 5 | 300 | 45,706 | 45,326 | $101 \%$ |  |  |
| 4 | 260 | 34,226 | 37,250 | $92 \%$ |  |  |
| 3 | 57 | 25,944 | 30,619 | $85 \%$ |  |  |
| 2 |  |  | 25,161 |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | Total Comparative Ratio |  |  |  |  |
| Total Employees | 1452 |  | 20,959 | $99 \%$ |  |  |

## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Technical Services

By Job Family: The table below shows the current compa-ratio by band for Technical Services. The lowest compa-ratio (92\%) for Geographic \& Mapping Services is higher than the State's average of $91 \%$.

| Job Family | \# of Employees | Average <br> Actual Salary | Average <br> Comparative Ratio |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Administrative \& Planning Services | 14 | $\$ 52,550$ | $105 \%$ |  |  |
| Engineering Services | 1361 | $\$ 54,051$ | $101 \%$ |  |  |
| Geographic \& Mapping Services | 29 | $\$ 51,557$ | $92 \%$ |  |  |
| Planning Services | 48 | $\$ 45,436$ | $94 \%$ |  |  |
| Total Comparative <br> Ratio |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{9 9 \%}$ |

## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Trade Services

The table below shows the current compa-ratio by band for Trade Services - 86\%, or $14 \%$ behind the State's midpoint. It is concerning to note that compa-ratios for ALL Bands are greater than $10 \%$ below midpoint (highlighted in red), especially as Trade Services makes up over $12 \%$ of the total employee population.

| Band | \# of Employees | Average <br> Actual Salary | Band <br> Midpoint | Average <br> Comparative <br> Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 |  |  | 120,884 |  |
| 9 |  |  | 99,352 |  |
| 8 | 4 | 57,473 | 67,655 |  |
| 7 | 169 | 47,913 | 55,155 | $86 \%$ |
| 6 | 439 | 37,089 | 45,326 | $87 \%$ |
| 5 | 1270 | 31,352 | 37,250 | $84 \%$ |
| 4 | 854 | 26,918 | 30,619 | $88 \%$ |
| 3 | 1214 | 22,239 | 25,161 | $88 \%$ |
| 2 | 249 | 17,890 | 20,959 | $85 \%$ |
| 1 | 4199 | Total Comparative Ratio |  | $86 \%$ |
| Total Employees |  |  |  |  |

## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Trade Services

By Job Family: The table below shows the current compa-ratio by Job Family within the Trade Services Occupational Category. Only Trade Services Job Family's average pay is consistent with midpoint. The other three Job families are 14-18\% below midpoint. Any compa-ratio below $90 \%$ is highlighted in red.

| Job Family |  | Average <br> \# of Employees <br> Actual Salary | Average <br> Comparative Ratio |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building, Grounds \& Laundry Services | 401 | $\$ 26,926$ | $82 \%$ |
| Food Services | 511 | $\$ 34,644$ | $82 \%$ |
| Trade Services | 2607 | $\$ 38,114$ | $99 \%$ |
| Transport Services | 680 | $\$ 32,706$ | $86 \%$ |
| Total Employees |  |  |  |

## Internal Equity

- By Job Family
- with Highest \& Lowest Salary


## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Administrative Services



## Component Analysis

Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Fiscal Services (Audit)


## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Fiscal Services (Accounting)



## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Legal Services



## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Human Resources



## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Administrative/Program Mgmt



## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Admin/Program Management



## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Nursing Services



## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Counseling \& Case Mgmt



## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Engineering Services



## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Health \& Safety Regulation



## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Law Enforcement



## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Public Safety



## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Security Services



## Component Analysis

Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Bldg, Grounds \& Laundry


## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Food Services



## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Trade Services



## Internal Equity - By Agency

## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - By Agency

These tables show the current compa-ratio for each of the 70 Agencies, sorted from highest to lowest.

| Agency | \# of Employees | Average Actual Salary | Average <br> Comparative Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Governor's Off-Mansion \& Grounds | 1 | \$30,832 | 123\% |
| Public Service Commission | 30 | \$69,957 | 117\% |
| Patient Compensation | 3 | \$53,390 | 111\% |
| The S C Infrastructure Bank Board | 2 | \$75,132 | 109\% |
| S C Conservation Bank | 2 | \$75,527 | 109\% |
| Ethics Commission | 10 | \$49,129 | 107\% |
| Commission On Higher Education | 25 | \$64,564 | 106\% |
| SC Aeronautics | 7 | \$62,821 | 105\% |
| Dept Of Alcohol \& Drug Abuse Svc | 20 | \$59,449 | 105\% |
| Department Of Commerce | 84 | \$67,958 | 105\% |
| Financial Institutional Board | 36 | \$56,664 | 104\% |
| Election Commission | 16 | \$47,752 | 104\% |
| TEC \& Comprehensive Educ Board | 73 | \$64,325 | 103\% |
| Housing Authority | 114 | \$55,220 | 103\% |
| Rural Infrastructure Authority | 7 | \$61,546 | 101\% |
| Department Of Transportation | 4375 | \$40,959 | 100\% |
| Sea Grant Consortium | 9 | \$55,623 | 100\% |
| Patriot's Point | 81 | \$39,026 | 100\% |
| Revenue and Fiscal Affairs Office | 65 | \$58,836 | 100\% |
| Adjutant Generals Office | 107 | \$47,828 | 98\% |
| Office Of Inspector General | 6 | \$53,971 | 98\% |
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## Component Analysis

Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - By Agency (continued)

| Agency | \# of Employees | Average <br> Actual Salary | Average <br> Comparative Ratio |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| State Fiscal Account Authority | 109 | $\$ 58,339$ | $98 \%$ |
| Attorney General's Office | 186 | $\$ 53,987$ | $97 \%$ |
| Department of Insurance | 79 | $\$ 53,487$ | $97 \%$ |
| Commission on Indigent Defense | 35 | $\$ 55,576$ | $97 \%$ |
| Department of Public Safety | 1232 | $\$ 45,434$ | $96 \%$ |
| Office of The Comptroller | 27 | $\$ 59,945$ | $96 \%$ |
| Commission on Minority Affairs | 8 | $\$ 52,997$ | $95 \%$ |
| Secretary of State | 29 | $\$ 45,212$ | $95 \%$ |
| Public Employee Benefits Authority | 245 | $\$ 52,175$ | $94 \%$ |
| Vocational Rehabilitation | 1163 | $\$ 43,815$ | $94 \%$ |
| Museum Commission | 25 | $\$ 45,759$ | $94 \%$ |
| Department Of Natural Resources | 669 | $\$ 44,691$ | $93 \%$ |
| State Library | 36 | $\$ 44,514$ | $93 \%$ |
| Department of Education | 909 | $\$ 45,077$ | $93 \%$ |
| State Auditor Office | 44 | $\$ 50,959$ | $93 \%$ |
| Dept of Consumer Affairs | 37 | $\$ 44,705$ | $92 \%$ |
| Dept of Archives And History | 30 | $\$ 42,072$ | $92 \%$ |
| Office of the State Treasurer | 54 | $\$ 55,691$ | $92 \%$ |
| Lieutenant Governor's Office | 34 | $\$ 52,269$ | $92 \%$ |
| Department of Revenue | 656 | $\$ 44,798$ | $92 \%$ |
| Labor License \& Regulation | 352 | $\$ 46,132$ | 92 |
| State Accident Fund | 64 | $\$ 43,087$ | $92 \%$ |
| Law Enforcement Training Council | 114 | $\$ 46,070$ | $91 \%$ |
| Educational Television Commission | 120 | $\$ 45,326$ | $91 \%$ |
| Governor's Off-Sled | 559 | $\$ 50,514$ | $91 \%$ |
| Department of Administration | 609 | $\$ 47,755$ | $90 \%$ |
|  |  |  | $90 \%$ |

## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - By Agency (continued)

| Agency | \# of Employees | Average <br> Actual Salary | Average <br> Comparative Ratio |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Arts Commission | 16 | $\$ 45,889$ | $90 \%$ |
| Workers Compensation | 45 | $\$ 43,857$ | $90 \%$ |
| Dept of Probation, Parole \& Pardon | 642 | $\$ 39,224$ | $88 \%$ |
| School for the Deaf and Blind | 210 | $\$ 35,322$ | $87 \%$ |
| Department of Corrections | 5000 | $\$ 33,882$ | $87 \%$ |
| Department of Agriculture | 128 | $\$ 35,656$ | $87 \%$ |
| Department of Social Services | 3332 | $\$ 35,823$ | $86 \%$ |
| Dept of Parks, Rec and Tourism | 359 | $\$ 34,952$ | $86 \%$ |
| Dept of Employment \& Workforce | 643 | $\$ 39,659$ | $86 \%$ |
| Department of Mental Health | 3977 | $\$ 36,586$ | $86 \%$ |
| Dept of Disabilities \& Special Need | 1768 | $\$ 28,685$ | $85 \%$ |
| Department of Motor Vehicles | 1197 | $\$ 31,295$ | $85 \%$ |
| Dept of Health And Env Control | 3052 | $\$ 43,632$ | $\$ 42,143$ |
| Dept of Health And Human Services | 862 | $\$ 40,145$ | $84 \%$ |
| Human Affairs Commission | 32 | $\$ 34,926$ | $83 \%$ |
| SC Dept Juvenile Justice | 1208 | $\$ 39,393$ | $83 \%$ |
| Confed Relic Rm And Mil Commission | 4 | $\$ 32,356$ | $83 \%$ |
| Forestry Commission | 328 | 3 | $\$ 33,649$ |

## Classified Pay Bands

## Classified Pay Bands

State of South Carolina Classified Pay Bands

| Band | Minimum | Midpoint | Maximum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\$ 15,080$ | $\$ 20,959$ | $\$ 26,838$ |
| 2 | $\$ 17,656$ | $\$ 25,161$ | $\$ 32,667$ |
| 3 | $\$ 21,484$ | $\$ 30,619$ | $\$ 39,754$ |
| 4 | $\$ 26,139$ | $\$ 37,250$ | $\$ 48,361$ |
| 5 | $\$ 31,805$ | $\$ 45,326$ | $\$ 58,848$ |
| 6 | $\$ 38,703$ | $\$ 55,155$ | $\$ 71,608$ |
| 7 | $\$ 47,092$ | $\$ 67,108$ | $\$ 87,125$ |
| 8 | $\$ 57,299$ | $\$ 81,655$ | $\$ 106,012$ |
| 9 | $\$ 69,717$ | $\$ 99,352$ | $\$ 128,987$ |
| 10 | $\$ 84,828$ | $\$ 120,884$ | $\$ 156,941$ |

KENNING
Consulting

## Internal Equity <br> - By Years of Service

## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Administrative Services



## Component Analysis

Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Fiscal Services (Audit)


## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Fiscal Services (Accounting)



## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Legal Services



## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Human Resources



## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Administrative/Program Mgmt



## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Admin/Program Management



## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Nursing Services



## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Counseling \& Case Mgmt



## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Engineering Services



## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Health \& Safety Regulation



## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Law Enforcement



## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Public Safety



## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Security Services



## Component Analysis

Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Bldg, Grounds \& Laundry


## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Food Services



## Component Analysis

## Internal Equity and Salary Disparities - Trade Services



## Agency Size - By Employee Group

## Component Analysis

## Agency by Employee Group (sorted largest to smallest)

| Agency | FTE Employees |  |  |  | Temporary |  | Temporary Grant |  | Time Limited |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Classified |  | Unclassified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS | 4989 | 95\% | 61 | 1\% | 160 | 3\% | 15 | 0.3\% |  | 0\% | 5225 | 12\% |
| DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION | 4387 | 99\% | 4 | 0\% | 15 | 0\% | 5 | 0.1\% |  | 0\% | 4411 | 10\% |
| DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH | 3991 | 92\% | 114 | 3\% | 253 | 6\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0\% | 4358 | 10\% |
| DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES | 3353 | 82\% | 5 | 0\% | 208 | 5\% | 512 | 12.5\% | 10 | 0\% | 4088 | 10\% |
| DEPT OF HEALTH AND ENV CONTROL | 3058 | 89\% | 5 | 0\% | 246 | 7\% | 112 | 3.3\% | 3 | 0\% | 3424 | 8\% |
| DEPT OF DISABILITIES\&SPEC NEED | 1774 | 90\% | 1 | 0\% | 194 | 10\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0\% | 1969 | 5\% |
| VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION | 1163 | 80\% | 16 | 1\% | 264 | 18\% |  | 0.0\% | 2 | 0\% | 1445 | 3\% |
| DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION | 914 | 63\% | 122 | 8\% | 367 | 25\% | 18 | 1.2\% | 22 | 2\% | 1443 | 3\% |
| SC DEPT JUVENILE JUSTICE | 1210 | 89\% | 66 | 5\% | 78 | 6\% | 1 | 0.1\% |  | 0\% | 1355 | 3\% |
| DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY | 1232 | 94\% | 3 | 0\% | 65 | 5\% | 9 | 0.7\% |  | 0\% | 1309 | 3\% |
| DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES | 1207 | 93\% | 6 | 0\% | 88 | 7\% | 2 | 0.2\% |  | 0\% | 1303 | 3\% |
| DEPT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SVCS | 865 | 75\% | 7 | 1\% | 74 | 6\% | 214 | 18.4\% | 1 | 0\% | 1161 | 3\% |
| DEPARTMENT OF NAT. RESOURCES | 670 | 73\% | 13 | 1\% | 112 | 12\% | 100 | 10.9\% | 20 | 2\% | 915 | 2\% |
| DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION | 608 | 77\% | 39 | 5\% | 23 | 3\% | 8 | 1.0\% | 115 | 15\% | 793 | 2\% |
| DEPT OF EMPLOYMENT \& WORKFORCE | 643 | 85\% | 7 | 1\% | 31 | 4\% | 73 | 9.7\% |  | 0\% | 754 | 2\% |
| DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE | 658 | 89\% | 1 | 0\% | 81 | 11\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0\% | 740 | 2\% |
| DEPT OF PARKS, REC AND TOURISM | 357 | 49\% | 2 | 0\% | 372 | 51\% | 1 | 0.1\% |  | 0\% | 732 | 2\% |
| DEPT OF PROB, PAROLE \& PARDON | 645 | 96\% | 4 | 1\% | 22 | 3\% | 1 | 0.1\% |  | 0\% | 672 | 2\% |
| GOVERNOR'S OFF-SLED | 561 | 85\% | 1 | 0\% | 69 | 10\% | 29 | 4.4\% |  | 0\% | 660 | 2\% |
| LABOR LICENSE \& REGULATION | 356 | 56\% | 2 | 0\% | 277 | 44\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0\% | 635 | 2\% |
| ADJUTANT GENERALS OFFICE | 107 | 18\% | 1 | 0\% | 195 | 33\% | 246 | 42.0\% | 37 | 6\% | 586 | 1\% |
| SCHOOL FOR THE DEAF AND BLIND | 209 | 53\% | 89 | 23\% | 53 | 13\% | 1 | 0.3\% | 43 | 11\% | 395 | 1\% |
| FORESTRY COMMISSION | 329 | 91\% | 2 | 1\% | 30 | 8\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0\% | 361 | 1\% |
| PUBLIC EMPLOYEE BENEFITS AUTH | 246 | 94\% | 8 | 3\% | 7 | 3\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0\% | 261 | 1\% |
| DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE | 128 | 50\% | 1 | 0\% | 120 | 47\% | 1 | 0.4\% | 5 | 2\% | 255 | 1\% |
| ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE | 186 | 79\% | 2 | 1\% | 40 | 17\% | 6 | 2.6\% |  | 0\% | 234 | 1\% |
| TEC \& COMPREHENSIVE EDUC BOARD | 73 | 31\% | 6 | 3\% | 154 | 66\% | 1 | 0.4\% |  | 0\% | 234 | 1\% |
| EDUCATIONAL TELEVISION COMM | 120 | 78\% | 1 | 1\% | 31 | 20\% | 2 | 1.3\% |  | 0\% | 154 | 0\% |
| PATRIOT'S POINT | 82 | 57\% | 1 | 1\% | 61 | 42\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0\% | 144 | 0\% |
| HOUSING AUTHORITY | 112 | 83\% | 1 | 1\% | 12 | 9\% |  | 0.0\% | 10 | 7\% | 135 | 0\% |
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## Component Analysis

## Agency by Employee Group (continued)

| Agency | FTE Employees |  |  |  | Temporary |  | Temporary Grant |  | Time Limited |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Classified |  | Unclassified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| STATE FISCAL ACCT AUTHORITY | 110 | 90\% | 7 | 6\% | 2 | 2\% |  | 0.0\% | 3 | 2\% | 122 | 0\% |
| LAW ENFORCEMENT TRNING COUNCIL | 114 | 95\% | 1 | 1\% |  | 0\% | 5 | 4.2\% |  | 0\% | 120 | 0\% |
| COMMISSION FOR THE BLIND | 91 | 81\% | 1 | 1\% | 17 | 15\% | 4 | 3.5\% |  | 0\% | 113 | 0\% |
| DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE | 84 | 79\% | 4 | 4\% | 18 | 17\% |  | 0.0\% | 1 | 1\% | 107 | 0\% |
| JOHN DE LA HOWE SCHOOL | 71 | 68\% | 15 | 14\% | 18 | 17\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0\% | 104 | 0\% |
| WIL LOU GRAY OPPORTUNITY SCH | 73 | 72\% | 18 | 18\% | 11 | 11\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0\% | 102 | 0\% |
| DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE | 79 | 81\% | 5 | 5\% | 13 | 13\% | 1 | 1.0\% |  | 0\% | 98 | 0\% |
| MUSEUM COMMISSION | 26 | 30\% | 1 | 1\% | 58 | 66\% |  | 0.0\% | 3 | 3\% | 88 | 0\% |
| OFFICE OF REGULATORY STAFF | 0 | 0\% | 71 | 88\% | 7 | 9\% |  | 0.0\% | 3 | 4\% | 81 | 0\% |
| REVENUE AND FISCAL AFFAIRS OFF | 64 | 83\% | 2 | 3\% | 2 | 3\% |  | 0.0\% | 9 | 12\% | 77 | 0\% |
| OFFICE OF THE STATE TREASURER | 55 | 79\% | 4 | 6\% | 11 | 16\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0\% | 70 | 0\% |
| COMMISSION ON INDIGENT DEFENSE | 37 | 53\% | 33 | 47\% |  | 0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0\% | 70 | 0\% |
| STATE ACCIDENT FUND | 64 | 98\% | 1 | 2\% |  | 0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0\% | 65 | 0\% |
| WORKERS COMPENSATION | 45 | 79\% | 8 | 14\% | 4 | 7\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0\% | 57 | 0\% |
| STATE AUDITOR OFFICE | 43 | 96\% | 2 | 4\% |  | 0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0\% | 45 | 0\% |
| LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR'S OFFICE | 34 | 77\% | 7 | 16\% | 3 | 7\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0\% | 44 | 0\% |
| DEPT OF ALCOHOL\&DRUG ABUSE SVC | 21 | 48\% | 1 | 2\% | 1 | 2\% | 12 | 27.3\% | 9 | 20\% | 44 | 0\% |
| DEPT OF ARCHIVES AND HISTORY | 30 | 73\% | 1 | 2\% | 6 | 15\% |  | 0.0\% | 4 | 10\% | 41 | 0\% |
| DEPT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS | 37 | 90\% | 1 | 2\% | 3 | 7\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0\% | 41 | 0\% |
| COMMISSION ON HIGHER EDUCATION | 25 | 63\% | 1 | 3\% | 4 | 10\% | 10 | 25.0\% |  | 0\% | 40 | 0\% |
| STATE LIBRARY | 37 | 97\% | 1 | 3\% |  | 0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0\% | 38 | 0\% |
| PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | 30 | 79\% | 7 | 18\% |  | 0\% |  | 0.0\% | 1 | 3\% | 38 | 0\% |
| HUMAN AFFAIRS COMMISSION | 32 | 86\% | 1 | 3\% | 4 | 11\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0\% | 37 | 0\% |
| FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONAL BOARD | 36 | 97\% | 1 | 3\% |  | 0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0\% | 37 | 0\% |
| SECRETARY OF STATE | 29 | 91\% | 1 | 3\% | 2 | 6\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0\% | 32 | 0\% |
| OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER | 27 | 84\% | 2 | 6\% | 3 | 9\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0\% | 32 | 0\% |
| GOVERNOR'S OFF-MANSION \& GRNDS | 1 | 4\% | 6 | 26\% | 16 | 70\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0\% | 23 | 0\% |
| ARTS COMMISSION | 16 | 80\% | 1 | 5\% | 3 | 15\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0\% | 20 | 0\% |
| SEA GRANT CONSORTIUM | 9 | 45\% | 1 | 5\% | 6 | 30\% | 4 | 20.0\% |  | 0\% | 20 | 0\% |
| SC AERONAUTICS | 7 | 35\% | 1 | 5\% | 12 | 60\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0\% | 20 | 0\% |
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## Component Analysis

## Agency by Employee Group (continued)

| Agency | FTE Employees |  |  |  | Temporary |  | Temporary Grant |  | Time Limited |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Classified |  | Unclassified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| GOVERNOR'S OFFICE |  | 0\% | 18 | 100\% |  | 0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0\% | 18 | 0\% |
| ELECTION COMMISSION | 17 | 94\% | 1 | 6\% |  | 0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0\% | 18 | 0\% |
| ETHICS COMMISSION | 10 | 77\% | 1 | 8\% | 2 | 15\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0\% | 13 | 0\% |
| COMMISSION ON MINORITY AFFAIRS | 8 | 73\% | 1 | 9\% | 2 | 18\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0\% | 11 | 0\% |
| CONFED RELIC RM AND MIL COMM | 4 | 50\% | 1 | 13\% | 3 | 38\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0\% | 8 | 0\% |
| RURAL INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY | 7 | 88\% | 1 | 13\% |  | 0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0\% | 8 | 0\% |
| OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL | 6 | 86\% | 1 | 14\% |  | 0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0\% | 7 | 0\% |
| HIGHER EDUCATION TUITION GRANT | 3 | 75\% | 1 | 25\% |  | 0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0\% | 4 | 0\% |
| SC CONSERVATION BANK | 2 | 50\% |  | 0\% | 2 | 50\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0\% | 4 | 0\% |
| PATIENT COMPENSATION | 3 | 75\% | 1 | 25\% |  | 0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0\% | 4 | 0\% |
| THE S C INFRASTRUCTURE BANK BD | 2 | 50\% |  | 0\% | 2 | 50\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0\% | 4 | 0\% |
| PROCUREMENT REVIEW PANEL | 2 | 100\% |  | 0\% |  | 0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0\% | 2 | 0\% |
| Totals | 35,624 | 85\% | 823 | 2\% | 3937 | 9\% | 1,393 | 3.3\% | 301 | 1\% | 42,078 | 100\% |

## Component Analysis

## Agency by Employee Group



## Component Analysis

Agency by Employee Group (continued)


## Component Analysis

Agency by Employee Group (continued)


## Component Analysis

Agency by Employee Group (continued)


## Component Analysis

Agency by Employee Group (continued)


## Component Analysis

Agency by Employee Group (continued)


## Component Analysis

Agency by Employee Group (continued)


## Changes in Salary Over Time

## Component Analysis

## Base Pay Increases

This graph presents legislated changes in base pay since 2007. There was no change in fiscal years 2009-2010, 2010-2011, 2013-2014 and 2015-2016.


## Component Analysis

## Reasons for Salary Adjustments

The graph shows reasons for salary adjustments, and the associated annual percentage increase to salaries for each, over the past two fiscal years.


## Component Analysis

## Reasons for Salary Adjustments

The table below shows the number of increases by reason for salary adjustment for the past two fiscal years.

|  | FY 2014-15 |  | FY 2013-14 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Increase Type | 1,487 | $\$ 3,549.95$ | 1,217 | $\$ 3,443.47$ |
| \# of Increases | Average Increase <br> Amount | \# of Increases <br> Amount |  |  |
| Additional Job Duty/Resptys. | 2,154 | $\$ 1,265.87$ | 2,057 | $\$ 1,173.32$ |
| Promotion | 1,888 | $\$ 5,507.77$ | 1,656 | $\$ 5,244.61$ |
| Performance | 1,649 | $\$ 2,665.19$ | 1,249 | $\$ 2,422.63$ |
| Reallocation | 208 | $\$ 3,378.61$ | 0 | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Reassignment | 800 | $\$ 3,027.60$ | 1,951 | $\$ 2,286.25$ |
| Reclassification | 1,004 | $\$ 3,980.24$ | 777 | $\$ 3,762.78$ |
| Retention | 51 | $\$ 5,402.23$ | 39 | $\$ 4,803.05$ |
| Special Salary Adjustment | 3,122 | $\$ 2,488.79$ | 1,505 | $\$ 879.73$ |
| Transfer | 127 | $\$ 3,872.00$ | 5 | $\$ 4,454.60$ |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{1 2 , 4 9 0}$ | $\$ 3,079.05$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 4 5 6}$ | $\$ 2,604.52$ |
| Total Spend |  | $\$ 38,457,290.88$ |  | $\$ 27,232,911.92$ |
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## Market Competitiveness - Base Salary

## Market Competitiveness <br> Base Salary - NCASG Survey

## Occupational Category versus NCASG

- The following table reflects the comparison of average salary for benchmark positions in each of South Carolina's occupational categories compared to the Weighted Average of the NCASG market.
- Highlighted are the occupational categories for which actual pay or midpoints lags the market by more than $20 \%$.

| Occupational <br> Category | SC Average Salary <br> Variance from NCASG <br> Weighted Average | SC Midpoint Variance <br> from NCASG <br> Weighted Average |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Administration | 25 | $-11 \%$ | $-4 \%$ |
| Agriculture \& Natural Services | 10 | $-23 \%$ | $-10 \%$ |
| Education | 4 | $-22 \%$ | $-11 \%$ |
| Health Services | 19 | $-16 \%$ | $-6 \%$ |
| Human Services | 8 | $-13 \%$ | $-10 \%$ |
| Information Services | 4 | $-23 \%$ | $-19 \%$ |
| Law Enforcement \& Support Services | 18 | $-19 \%$ | $-10 \%$ |
| Technical Services | 9 | $-9 \%$ | $-14 \%$ |
| Trade Services | 11 | $-14 \%$ | $-9 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{- 8 \%}$ |

## Market Competitiveness

## Base Salary

Benchmark Competitiveness at Weighted Average

| South Carolina Job \& Employee Data |  |  |  |  |  | NCASG |  |  | Mercer (Government Sector) |  |  | Mercer (South Carolina State ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupational Category | Job Title | Band \# | of EEs | Band Midpoint | Average Salary | Weighted Average | SC vs Market | SC Midpt vs Market | Weighted Average | SC vs Market | SC Midpt vs Market | Weighted Average | SC vs Market | SC Midpt vs Market |
| Administration | Administrative Specialist I | 2 | 103 | \$25,161 | \$22,733 | \$34,005 | -33\% | -26\% | \$33,274 | -32\% | -22\% | \$35,389 | -36\% | -29\% |
| Administration | Administrative Specialist II | 3 | 2090 | \$30,619 | \$25,017 | \$33,984 | -26\% | -10\% | \$31,910 | -22\% | -3\% | \$33,474 | -25\% | -14\% |
| Administration | Administrative Assistant | 4 | 1400 | \$37,250 | \$32,004 | \$38,491 | -17\% | -3\% | \$38,860 | -18\% | -2\% | \$39,628 | -19\% | -3\% |
| Administration | Program Coordinator I | 5 | 787 | \$45,326 | \$39,273 | \$40,490 | -3\% | 12\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Administration | Program Coordinator II | 6 | 964 | \$55,155 | \$50,295 | \$59,110 | -15\% | -7\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Administration | Program Manager I | 7 | 769 | \$67,108 | \$64,635 | \$64,018 | 1\% | 5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Administration | Program Manager II | 8 | 353 | \$81,655 | \$83,354 | \$87,064 | -4\% | -6\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Administration | Executive Assistant I | 6 | 32 | \$55,155 | \$53,861 | \$47,260 | 14\% | 17\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Administration | Fiscal Technician II | 4 | 162 | \$37,250 | \$31,993 | \$35,369 | -10\% | 5\% | \$29,118 | 10\% | 46\% | \$29,852 | 7\% | 28\% |
| Administration | Auditor III | 5 | 145 | \$45,326 | \$40,279 | \$50,009 | -19\% | -9\% | \$62,388 | -35\% | -24\% | \$81,869 | -51\% | -38\% |
| Administration | Accountant/Fiscal Analyst I | 4 | 105 | \$37,250 | \$33,279 | \$46,196 | -28\% | -19\% | \$29,118 | 14\% | 46\% | \$29,852 | 11\% | 28\% |
| Administration | Accountant/Fiscal Analyst II | 5 | 200 | \$45,326 | \$40,791 | \$51,144 | -20\% | -11\% | \$34,399 | 19\% | 48\% | \$33,269 | 23\% | -26\% |
| Administration | Accountant/Fiscal Analyst III | 6 | 183 | \$55,155 | \$52,985 | \$53,225 | 0\% | 4\% | \$39,385 | 35\% | 44\% | \$43,883 | 21\% | 28\% |
| Administration | Accounting/Fiscal Manager III | 9 | 6 | \$99,352 | \$105,545 | \$91,044 | 16\% | 9\% |  |  |  | \$129,984 | -19\% | -24\% |
| Administration | Examiner II | 6 | 9 | \$55,155 | \$47,844 | \$54,922 | -13\% | 0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Administration | Hearings Officer II | 5 | 0 | \$45,326 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Administration | Claims Analyst II | 6 | 7 | \$55,155 | \$49,948 | \$43,105 | 16\% | 28\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Administration | Economist | 7 | 0 | \$67,108 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Administration | Human Resources Specialist | 4 | 137 | \$37,250 | \$32,638 | \$37,986 | -14\% | -2\% |  |  |  | \$63,521 | -49\% | -45\% |
| Administration | Human Resources Manager I | 5 | 112 | \$45,326 | \$42,831 | \$52,995 | -19\% | -14\% | \$66,200 | -35\% | -32\% | \$79,426 | -46\% | -40\% |
| Administration | Human Resources Manager II | 6 | 65 | \$55,155 | \$54,663 | \$60,802 | -10\% | -9\% |  |  |  | \$77,240 | -29\% | -33\% |
| Administration | Human Resources Director I | 7 | 33 | \$67,108 | \$69,731 | \$71,077 | -2\% | -6\% | \$106,199 | -34\% | -36\% | \$112,036 | -38\% | -39\% |
| Administration | Instructor/Training Coordinator II | 5 | 67 | \$45,326 | \$42,756 | \$52,991 | -19\% | -14\% |  |  |  | \$55,559 | -23\% | -15\% |
| Administration | Benefits Counsellor II | 5 | 32 | \$45,326 | \$41,062 | \$47,637 | -14\% | -5\% |  |  |  | \$51,794 | -21\% | -12\% |
| Administration | Attorney II | 6 | 61 | \$55,155 | \$53,913 | \$73,026 | -26\% | -24\% | \$63,466 | -15\% | -9\% | \$77,965 | -31\% | -22\% |
| Administration | Procurement Specialist II | 5 | 51 | \$45,326 | \$39,381 | \$50,803 | -22\% | -11\% | \$68,020 | -42\% | -25\% | \$80,976 | -51\% | -43\% |
| Administration | Project Manager II | 8 | 10 | \$81,655 | \$83,878 | \$80,080 | 5\% | 2\% | \$76,728 | 9\% | 11\% | \$80,344 | 4\% | 3\% |
| Agriculture \& Natural Services | Field Specialist II | 4 | 10 | \$37,250 | \$30,552 | \$45,505 | -33\% | -18\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture \& Natural Services | Geologist/Hydrologist I | 5 | 10 | \$45,326 | \$34,897 | \$59,961 | -42\% | -24\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture \& Natural Services | Geologist/Hydrologist II | 6 | 16 | \$55,155 | \$42,091 | \$53,455 | -21\% | 3\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture \& Natural Services | Forester II | 5 | 28 | \$45,326 | \$38,109 | \$48,379 | -21\% | -6\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture \& Natural Services | Wildlife Biologist II | 5 | 23 | \$45,326 | \$39,485 | \$46,042 | -14\% | -2\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture \& Natural Services | Wildlife Biologist III | 6 | 38 | \$55,155 | \$50,428 | \$60,376 | -16\% | -9\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture \& Natural Services | Wildlife Biologist IV | 7 | 25 | \$67,108 | \$62,843 | \$54,223 | 16\% | 24\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture \& Natural Services | Natural Resource Technician II | 3 | 30 | \$30,619 | \$27,291 | \$40,988 | -33\% | -25\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture \& Natural Services | Park Ranger | 3 | 39 | \$30,619 | \$24,758 | \$39,386 | -37\% | -22\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture \& Natural Services | Park Manager I | 4 | 27 | \$37,250 | \$34,379 | \$52,586 | -35\% | -29\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Market Competitiveness

## Base Salary

## Benchmark Competitiveness at Weighted Average (continued)



## Market Competitiveness

## Base Salary

## Benchmark Competitiveness at Weighted Average (continued)

| South Carolina Job \& Employee Data |  |  |  |  |  | NCASG |  |  | Mercer (Government Sector) |  |  | Mercer (South Carolina State ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupational Category | Job Title | Band \# | of EEs | Band Midpoint | Average Salary | Weighted Average | SC vs Market | SC Midpt vs Market | Weighted Average | SC vs Market | SC Midpt vs Market | Weighted Average | SC vs Market | SC Midpt vs Market |
| Law Enforcement \& Support Services | EP Coordinator I | 5 | 17 | \$45,326 | \$43,268 | \$46,806 | -8\% | -3\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Law Enforcement \& Support Services | Environmental Health Manager I | 5 | 188 | \$45,326 | \$34,090 | \$42,837 | -20\% | 6\% | \$68,117 | -50\% | -34\% | \$102,049 | -67\% | -54\% |
| Law Enforcement \& Support Services | Environmental Health Manager II | 6 | 251 | \$55,155 | \$42,757 | \$47,162 | -9\% | 17\% | \$68,117 | -37\% | -19\% | \$102,049 | -58\% | -44\% |
| Law Enforcement \& Support Services | Investigator II | 4 | 13 | \$37,250 | \$34,056 | \$41,839 | -19\% | -11\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Law Enforcement \& Support Services | Investigator III | 5 | 80 | \$45,326 | \$43,003 | \$45,946 | -6\% | -1\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Law Enforcement \& Support Services | Fingerprint Examiner | 4 | 6 | \$37,250 | \$33,270 | \$42,982 | -23\% | -13\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Law Enforcement \& Support Services | Forensic Technician II | 4 | 7 | \$37,250 | \$30,494 | \$56,503 | -46\% | -34\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Law Enforcement \& Support Services | Inspector I | 3 | 4 | \$30,619 | \$25,122 | \$45,907 | -45\% | -33\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Law Enforcement \& Support Services | Inspector II | 4 | 30 | \$37,250 | \$35,592 | \$52,886 | -33\% | -30\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Law Enforcement \& Support Services | Law Enforcement Officer I | 4 | 275 | \$37,250 | \$36,201 | \$61,619 | -41\% | -40\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Law Enforcement \& Support Services | Law Enforcement Officer II | 5 | 729 | \$45,326 | \$43,234 | \$48,951 | -12\% | -7\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Law Enforcement \& Support Services | Law Enforcement Officer III | 6 | 392 | \$55,155 | \$52,685 | \$71,037 | -26\% | -22\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Law Enforcement \& Support Services | Probation \& Parole Law Enforcement Officer II | 5 | 217 | \$45,326 | \$37,998 | \$42,235 | -10\% | 7\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Law Enforcement \& Support Services | Probation \& Parole Law Enforcement Manager I | 5 | 50 | \$45,326 | \$43,498 | \$45,242 | -4\% | 0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Law Enforcement \& Support Services | Law Enforcement Officer IV | 7 | 176 | \$67,108 | \$64,611 | \$85,182 | -24\% | -21\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Law Enforcement \& Support Services | Security Specialist I | 1 | 0 | \$20,959 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Law Enforcement \& Support Services | Correctional Officer I | 3 | 1824 | \$30,619 | \$27,913 | \$38,185 | -27\% | -20\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Law Enforcement \& Support Services | Correctional Officer II | 4 | 1331 | \$37,250 | \$31,484 | \$41,929 | -25\% | -11\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Law Enforcement \& Support Services | Correctional Officer IV | 6 | 152 | \$55,155 | \$46,913 | \$55,723 | -16\% | -1\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Technical Services | State Appraiser II | 6 | 13 | \$55,155 | \$57,822 | \$44,014 | 31\% | 25\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Technical Services | Electronics Technician I | 3 | 2 | \$30,619 | \$26,563 | \$41,116 | -35\% | -26\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Technical Services | Engineering/Geodetic Technician I | 2 | 0 | \$25,161 |  | \$40,938 |  | -39\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Technical Services | Engineer/Associate Engineer I | 5 | 143 | \$45,326 | \$45,550 | \$52,203 | -13\% | -13\% |  |  |  | \$66,011 | -31\% | -31\% |
| Technical Services | Engineer/Associate Engineer II | 6 | 267 | \$55,155 | \$58,269 | \$67,645 | -14\% | -18\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Technical Services | Director of Engineering | 9 | 15 | \$99,352 | \$113,470 | \$103,425 | 10\% | -4\% | \$105,417 | 8\% | -12\% |  |  |  |
| Technical Services | Architect/Design Engineer | 6 | 0 | \$55,155 |  | \$72,789 |  | -24\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Technical Services | GIS Analyst | 5 | 8 | \$45,326 | \$40,674 | \$58,257 | -30\% | -22\% | \$58,306 | -30\% | -19\% |  |  |  |
| Technical Services | Right-of-Way Agent II | 5 | 20 | \$45,326 | \$42,805 | \$48,198 | -11\% | -6\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trade Services | Building/Grounds Specialist I | 1 | 139 | \$20,959 | \$17,765 | \$24,499 | -27\% | -14\% | \$29,179 | -39\% | -22\% |  |  |  |
| Trade Services | Food Service Specialist I | 1 | 97 | \$20,959 | \$17,431 | \$25,223 | -31\% | -17\% | \$24,253 | -28\% | -12\% |  |  |  |
| Trade Services | Food Service Specialist VI | 6 | 19 | \$55,155 | \$44,247 | \$49,395 | -10\% | 12\% | \$47,228 | -6\% | 24\% |  |  |  |
| Trade Services | Nutritionist I | 3 | 0 | \$30,619 |  | \$51,214 |  | -40\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trade Services | Dietitian Director/Consultant | 7 | 1 | \$67,108 | \$57,011 | \$44,527 | 28\% | 51\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trade Services | Trades Specialist I | 1 | 0 | \$20,959 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trade Services | Trades Specialist II | 2 | 1039 | \$25,161 | \$25,780 | \$37,208 | -31\% | -32\% | \$48,531 | -47\% | -47\% |  |  |  |
| Trade Services | Trades Specialist III | 3 | 645 | \$30,619 | \$30,719 | \$40,937 | -25\% | -25\% | \$48,531 | -37\% | -36\% |  |  |  |
| - Trade Services | Trades Specialist IV | 4 | 560 | \$37,250 | \$36,328 | \$37,208 | -2\% | 0\% | \$48,531 | -25\% | -22\% |  |  |  |
| Trade Services | Trades Specialist V | 5 | 330 | \$45,326 | \$43,566 | \$48,556 | -10\% | -7\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trade Services | Trades Manager | 6 | 33 | \$55,155 | \$54,373 | \$67,934 | -20\% | -19\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trade Services | Mechanic I | 2 | 6 | \$25,161 | \$25,531 | \$57,268 | -55\% | -56\% | \$42,184 | -39\% | -40\% | \$50,080 | -49\% | -48\% |

## Benefits Review

## Benefits Review

 Paid Holidays| Leave Component | South Carolina Summary of Current Provisions | State Government Practice | Private Sector Practice |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Paid Holidays | 13 Paid Holidays. | Practice varies from State to State, with $90 \%$ of all States providing 10 or more holidays, with the maximum being 17 . <br> For Southeastern States, all provide in the range of 11-13 paid holidays, with the average being 11.5 paid holidays. | Most common number of paid holidays is 10. |

## Benefits Review

 Annual Leave| Leave Component | South Carolina Summary of Current Provisions | State Government Practice | Private Sector Practice |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual Leave | A summary of the schedule is as follows: <br> 1-10 years of service: 15 days/year annual leave. <br> For 11-21 years of service: an additional 1.25 days/year annual leave. <br> For 22+ years of service: 30 days annual leave/year. | Average for Southeastern States is as follows: <br> 1-4 years 13 days <br> 10 years 19 days <br> $25+$ years 25 days | The most typical practice is to start at 10 days/year with increase to 15 days at 5 years, 20 days at 15 years and 25 days at 25 years. <br> Increasing prevalence is the use of PTO (paid time off) which is a combination of sick leave and annual leave. Over 50\% of organizations in the private sector have such plans. Mercer reports increase from 38\% of organizations in 2010 to 55\% of organizations in 2014. Prevalence is lower in the public sector, being greater in local government than State government. <br> For example, if an employee was eligible for 20 days annual leave and 10 days sick leave in the previous plan, if the organization has a PTO plan, that employee is eligible for 30 days PTO. |

## Benefits Review

## Sick Leave

| Leave Component | South Carolina Summary of Current Provisions | State Government Practice | Private Sector Practice |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sick Leave | 15 days/year. Can accrue up to 195 days and carry over up to 180 days. <br> Upon retirement, can get a credit of up to 90 days of unused sick leave towards retirement. (For employees who joined SCRS prior to July 1, 2012 only.) | Typical State Government practice is 1214 days. <br> Average for Southeastern States is 13 days. <br> Majority of Southeastern States have an unlimited cap on the accrual of sick leave. | Most common practice is 10-12 days in combination with a STD (short term disability) plan. <br> Most common practice in the private sector is that unused sick leave has no value upon retirement. <br> Increasing prevalence of the use of PTO (paid time off) plans. See notes on Annual Leave page. |

## Benefits Review

## Retirement

| Leave Component | South Carolina Summary of Current Provisions | State Government Practice | Private Sector Practice |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retirement | The plan with the most members is the Defined Benefit plan (SCRS plan). It has different Classes of members depending on the date joined. The benefit formula at which an unreduced benefit can be drawn varies for the different classes of members. The retirement formula is $1.82 \times$ years of service $x$ average final compensation. For members joining after July 1, 2012, the rule of 90 applies, being a combination of age and service. For members joining before July 1, 2012, an unreduced benefit is available after 28 years of service. <br> Employees contribute 8.16\% of salary on an annual basis. This is the highest employee contribution as compared to Southeastern States. <br> In 2001, the State introduced a Defined Contribution plan. Employees contribute 8.16\% of salary and the State contributes $5 \%$ of salary. <br> A deferred compensation plan is also available where employees can contribute up to $\$ 18,000$. | DB plans are the most common practice in all State Governments. In the Southeastern States, all have a DB plan. The majority have a deferred compensation plan in which there is no state contribution. <br> A few have a DC plan. <br> The typical retirement formula in the Southeastern States is in the range of 1.7-1.85\% of base salary. The average employee contribution in the Southeastern States is $4.9 \%$ of base salary, with the range being $0-8 \%$. | $36 \%$ of private sector organizations have DB plans and this percentage has been reducing. $100 \%$ of organizations have DC plans. <br> For those private sector organizations that have DB plans, the vast majority require no employee contribution. <br> The most common contribution by an employee as a percentage of salary is $6 \%$ with the average match by the employer being $3 \%$. <br> In any retirement plan, there are 3 key components: the employee contribution, the employer contribution and the benefit. In a DB plan, the benefit is known and the variables are the employee and employer contributions. For a DC plan, the benefit is unknown and hence, the employee carries more of the risk. |
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## Benefits Review

Health Insurance

| Leave Component | South Carolina Summary of Current Provisions | State Government Practice | Private Sector Practice |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Insurance | The State offers 3 plans, being the SHP Savings Plan the SHP Standard Plan and the Medicare Supplement Plan. The SHP Savings Plan is a high deductible plan. The Savings Plan has annual deductible limits of $\$ 3600$ for single and $\$ 7200$ for family and the Standard Plan equivalents are $\$ 445$ and $\$ 890$ respectively. The Standard Plan is the most used plan. <br> Co-insurance maximum for the Savings Plan is $\$ 2400$ for singles and $\$ 4800$ for family In-network. Out-of-network maximum is double in-network. For the Standard Plan, the co-insurance maximum for singles is $\$ 2540$ and $\$ 5080$ for family in-network. Out-of-network maximums are double that of innetwork. <br> For the Standard Plan, the Monthly premiums for the Savings Plan are $\$ 9.70$ for single and $\$ 113.20$ for family. For the Standard Plan, they are $\$ 97.98$ and $\$ 306.86$ respectively. <br> Employee cost sharing is $21.3 \%$ of premium. | Health plans are the benefits component that varies the most across State Governments as they are "local market" in nature. In addition, this is the benefit component that is most "in flux" due to the ongoing impact of the Affordable Care Act. <br> For the equivalent of the SHP Standard Plan, the average of other States in network deductible for single is $\$ 400$ and for family $\$ 850$. <br> Out of pocket maximum is $\$ 1375$ and for family is $\$ 2750$. <br> The most prevalent employee cost sharing for employees in State Government is $7-15 \%$. | The most common practice in the private sector market is to offer two plans, a high deductible plan which is the equivalent of the State's Savings Plan and a plan which is the equivalent of the State's Standard Plan. <br> For the equivalent of the SHP Standard Plan, the average in network deductible for single is $\$ 550$ and for family $\$ 1500$. <br> Out of pocket maximum is $\$ 2550$ and for family is $\$ 5000$. <br> The most prevalent employee cost sharing for employees in the private sector is in the range of $15-29 \%$. |
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## Definition of Terms

## Definition of Terms

| Job Family | A series of jobs that are engaged in similar kinds of work. <br> They may be found in numerous agencies. Examples <br> include: Nurses, Accountants, Park Rangers, Attorneys. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Occupational Category | A grouping of job families that are broadly similar in function. <br> For example: Trades, Law Enforcement, Human Services, <br> Health Services. |
| Midpoint | The mathematical value that is equidistant between the <br> minimum value of a pay band and the maximum value of the <br> pay band. |
| Compa-ratio | Salary expressed as a percentage of the midpoint of a pay <br> band. For example: if the midpoint value is $\$ 100,000$ and <br> the salary is $\$ 91,000$, the compa-ratio is $91 \%$. |
| DSHR | Division of State Human Resources. |
| NCASG | National Compensation Association of State Governments. |

