E230

SECTION:

61



## Fiscal Year 2025-26 Agency Budget Plan

## FORM A - BUDGET PLAN SUMMARY

OPERATING REQUESTS (FORM B1)	For FY 2025-26, my agency is (mark "X"):  X Requesting General Fund Appropriations. Requesting Federal/Other Authorization. Not requesting any changes.
Non-Recurring Requests (Form B2)	For FY 2025-26, my agency is (mark "X"):  Requesting Non-Recurring Appropriations.  Requesting Non-Recurring Federal/Other Authorization.  X Not requesting any changes.
CAPITAL REQUESTS (FORM C)	For FY 2025-26, my agency is (mark "X"):  Requesting funding for Capital Projects.  Not requesting any changes.
Provisos (Form D)	For FY 2025-26, my agency is (mark "X"):  Requesting a new proviso and/or substantive changes to existing provisos.  Only requesting technical proviso changes (such as date references).  X Not requesting any proviso changes.

Please identify your agency's preferred contacts for this year's budget process.

	<u>Name</u>	<u>Phone</u>	<u>Email</u>
PRIMARY CONTACT:	Rodney Grizzle	803-734-1168	rgrizzle@sccid.sc.gov
SECONDARY CONTACT:	Donna Bridges	803-734-1451	dbridges@sccid.sc.gov

I have reviewed and approved the enclosed FY 2025-26 Agency Budget Plan, which is complete and accurate to the extent of my knowledge.

	Agency Director	Board or Commission Chair
SIGN/DATE:	Why butt	Jake So De Oak
TYPE/PRINT NAME:	J. Hugh Ryan, III	John S. Nichols
Til C I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		

This form must be signed by the agency head – not a delegate.

Agency Name:	Commission On Indigent Defense
Agency Code:	E230
Section:	61

BUDGET REQUESTS		FUNDING				FTES						
Priority	Request Type	Request Title	State	Federal	Earmarked	Restricted	Total	State	Federal	Earmarked	Restricted	Total
1	B1 - Recurring	Recurring General Fund Increase for Office of Circuit Public Defender; Defense of Indigents/Per Capita	2,980,000	0	0	0	2,980,000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
TOTALS		2,980,000	0	0	0	2,980,000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

Agency Name:	Commission On Indigent Defense				
Agency Code:	E230	Section:	61		

## **FORM B1 – RECURRING OPERATING REQUEST**

AGENCY PRIORITY

1

Provide the Agency Priority Ranking from the Executive Summary.

TITLE

Recurring General Fund Increase for Office of Circuit Public Defender; Defense of Indigents/Per Capita

Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.

**AMOUNT** 

General: \$2,980,000

Federal: \$0

Other: \$0

Total: \$2,980,000

What is the net change in requested appropriations for FY 2025-2026? This amount should correspond to the total for all funding sources on the Executive Summary.

## **NEW POSITIONS**

0.00

Please provide the total number of new positions needed for this request.

# FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH THE REQUEST

#### Mark "X" for all that apply:

Change in cost of providing current services to existing program audience

Change in case load/enrollment under existing program guidelines

Non-mandated change in eligibility/enrollment for existing program

Non-mandated program change in service levels or areas

Proposed establishment of a new program or initiative

Loss of federal or other external financial support for existing program

Exhaustion of fund balances previously used to support program

IT Technology/Security related

HR/Personnel Related

Consulted DTO during development

Related to a Non-Recurring request – If so, Priority #

STATEWIDE ENTERPRISE STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

### Mark "X" for primary applicable Statewide Enterprise Strategic Objective:

Education, Training, and Human Development

Healthy and Safe Families

Maintaining Safety, Integrity, and Security

Public Infrastructure and Economic Development

Government and Citizens

## **Strategy 1.1 Enhance the Circuit Public Defender System.**

## ACCOUNTABILITY OF FUNDS

Continuing to recruit and retain public defenders by offering competitive salaries and hiring and retaining critical staff to support the additional attorneys. Objective measurements of success will be a more efficient criminal justice system resulting in a reduction in the number of pending warrants, more timely resolution of cases and increased quality of client representation.

What specific strategy, as outlined in the most recent Strategic Planning and Performance Measurement template of agency's accountability report, does this funding request support? How would this request advance that strategy? How would the use of these funds be evaluated?

## RECIPIENTS OF

Funding will be allocated to the 16 Circuit Public Defender Offices on a per capita basis as established by proviso 61.1 (FY 24-25 Appropriations Act H. 5100)

#### **FUNDS**

What individuals or entities would receive these funds (contractors, vendors, grantees, individual beneficiaries, etc.)? How would these funds be allocated – using an existing formula, through a competitive process, based upon predetermined eligibility criteria?

## Once again, the South Carolina Commission on Indigent Defense (SCCID) and the Commission on Prosecution Coordination (SCCPC) have worked together in preparation of budget proposals to maintain a balanced approach to managing the criminal docket in South Carolina.

An effective and efficient criminal justice system will best serve clients, victims, county correctional facilities, courts, and the citizens (taxpayers) of South Carolina.

## JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST

Recurring funding appropriated in FY 24 (SCCID made no request for additional funding for FY 25) for assistant public defender recruitment and retention has resulted in a statewide increase of approximately 78 additional public defenders over a two-year period. However, 27 vacancies remain as competitive pay is still a struggle while competing against private industry and better funded government entities. The average pay of attorneys employed by the State of S.C. with 6-10 years of experience is \$106,215 versus a salary of \$93,264 of public defenders with the equivalent experience.

While the FY24 recurring, funding has allowed PD offices to make significant attorney hires there is now a critical need to hire staff to support these attorneys. Currently there is a ratio of 3 attorneys to 1 staff member. These positions include investigators, paralegals, litigation support specialist (who can provide IT support, body camera downloads, trial support and other assigned tasks in the critical technology realm) and other administrative staff.

This funding is critical as PDs continue to handle huge caseloads averaging approximately 400 warrants per PD and continue to work through the huge COVID created court backlog.

Please thoroughly explain the request to include the justification for funds, potential offsets, matching funds, and method of calculation. Please include any explanation of impact if funds are not received. If new positions have been requested, explain why existing vacancies are not sufficient.

Agency Name:	Commission On Indigent Defense				
Agency Code:	E230	Section:	61		

## FORM E – AGENCY COST SAVINGS AND GENERAL FUND REDUCTION CONTINGENCY PLAN

TITLE

Agency Cost Savings and General Fund Reduction Contingency Plan

#### **AMOUNT**

\$1,500,581

What is the General Fund 3% reduction amount? This amount should correspond to the reduction spreadsheet prepared by EBO.

## ASSOCIATED FTE REDUCTIONS

No reduction in State Authorized FTE's. However, a reduction in Rule 608 funding would result in a loss of the agency's ability to pay contract and Court appointed attorneys for representation of indigent clients.

How many FTEs would be reduced in association with this General Fund reduction?

## PROGRAM / ACTIVITY IMPACT

1. Administration

Rule 608 Appointment

What programs or activities are supported by the General Funds identified?

If the 3% General fund reduction were to be implemented, SCCID would take the cuts from our budget lines as follows:

Rule 608 Appointment (1,500,581)

SCCID 3% Reduction (1,500,581)

This reduction would decrease this agency's ability to fund the much-needed legal representation for the indigent citizens of South Carolina. The reduction of Rule 608 Appointment funds would restrict the ability to pay private contract and/or court appointed attorneys representing the indigent citizens of South Carolina and would also increase the backlog of cases in General Sessions, Family Court (DSS cases), Sexual Violent Predator and Post Conviction Relief (PCR) cases.

#### **SUMMARY**

Please provide a detailed summary of service delivery impact caused by a reduction in General Fund Appropriations and provide the method of calculation for anticipated reductions. Agencies should prioritize reduction in expenditures that have the least significant impact on service delivery.

# SCCID continues to look for ways to be more efficient with less resources. In FY24, SCCID did not fill four FTE positions within the agency and have absorbed those job responsibilities within the existing staff. AGENCY COST

**SAVINGS PLANS** 

What measures does the agency plan to implement to reduce its costs and operating expenses by more than \$50,000? Provide a summary of the measures taken and the estimated amount of savings. How does the agency plan to repurpose the savings?